

Serial Number of the candidate In Summary Report of the DEO : 01



Name of the District: South West Garo Hills

**CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO ON LODGING OF ACCOUNT OF
ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO HOUSE OF PEOPLE
OR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY**

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	CLEMENT G. MOMIN VILL. LATRIGRE, P.O. SULGURI, P.S. AMPATI, SOUTH WEST GARO HILLS
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	NATIONAL PEOPLE'S PARTY
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Ampati (ST) Assembly Constituency
4	Name of elected candidate	MIANI D. SHIRA
5	Date of declaration of result	31st May, 2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	26th June, 2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	2nd July, 2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	29th June, 2018
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.				NA	
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				NA	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NA	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				₹ 883547/-	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
Total		NA				

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 30th June, 2018	Yes  Signature (Smt. H.D.B. Sangma, DEO, South West Garo Hills, Ampati)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 30th June, 2018	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate in Summary Report of the DEO : 02


Name of the District: South West Garo Hills

**CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO ON LODGING OF ACCOUNT OF
ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO HOUSE OF PEOPLE
OR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY**

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	MIANI D. SHIRA CHENGKOMPARA, P.O. AMPATI, SOUTH WEST GARO HILLS
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDIAN NATIONAL CONGRESS
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Ampati (ST) Assembly Constituency
4	Name of elected candidate	MIANI D. SHIRA
5	Date of declaration of result	31st May, 2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	26th June, 2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	2nd July, 2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate: (i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	29th June, 2018
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO		
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.				NA		
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				NA		
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NA		
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				Rs: 534302/-		
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes		
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA		
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes		
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes		
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes		
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes		
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes		
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No		
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA		
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA		
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes		
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate	
i	NA						
Total				NA			

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 30th June, 2018	Yes, Signature (Smt. H.D.B. Sangma, DEO, South West Garo Hills, Ampati)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* Date: 30th June, 2018	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

- * If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

- ** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

Serial Number of the candidate In Summary Report of the DEO : 03


Name of the District: South West Garo Hills

**CANDIDATE WISE SCRUTINY REPORT OF DEO ON LODGING OF ACCOUNT OF
ELECTION EXPENSES UNDER RULE 89 OF C.E. RULES, 1961 TO HOUSE OF PEOPLE
OR LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY**

[In case of any discrepancy in the account submitted by the candidate and the Shadow Observation Register, copies of all the registers and evidences collected, should be sent along with this report]

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
1	Name & address of the candidate	SUBHANKAR KOCH VILL. BETASING, P.O. BETASING, P.S. AMPATI, DIST. SOUTH WEST GARO HILLS
2	Political Party affiliation, if any	INDEPENDENT
3	No. and name of Assembly/Parliamentary Constituency	53-Ampati (ST) Assembly Constituency
4	Name of elected candidate	MIANI D. SHIRA
5	Date of declaration of result	31st May, 2018
6	Date of Account Reconciliation Meeting	26th June, 2018
7	(i) Whether the candidate or his agent had been informed about the date of Account Reconciliation Meeting in writing	Yes
	(ii) Whether he or his agent has attended the meeting	Yes
8	Whether all the defects reconciled by the candidate after Account Reconciliation Meeting (Yes or No). (If not, defects that could not be reconciled be shown in Column No. 19)	Yes
9	Last date prescribed for lodging Account	2nd July, 2018
10	Whether the candidate has lodged the account	Yes
11	If the candidate has lodged the account, date of lodging of account by the candidate:	29th June, 2018
	(i) original account (ii) revised account after the Account Reconciliation Meeting	
12	Whether account lodged in time	Yes
12A	If not lodged in time, period of delay	NA

S.No.	Description				To be filled up by the DEO	
13	If account not lodged or not lodged in time, whether DEO called for explanation from the candidate. If not, reason thereof.				NA	
14	Explanation, if any, given by the candidate				NA	
14A	Comments of the DEO on the explanation if any, of the candidate				NA	
15	Grand Total of all election expenses reported by the candidate in Part-II of the Abstract Statement				₹ 57850/-	
16	Whether in the DEO's opinion, the account of election expenses of the candidate has been lodged in the manner required by the R. P. Act, 1951 and C.E. Rules, 1961				Yes	
17	If No, then please mention the following defects with details				NA	
	(i) Whether Election Expenditure Register comprising of Day to Day Account Register, Cash Register, Bank Register, Abstract Statement has been lodged				Yes	
	(ii) Whether duly sworn in affidavit has been submitted by candidate				Yes	
	(iii) Whether requisite vouchers in respect of items of election expenditure submitted				Yes	
	(iv) Whether separate Bank Account opened for election				Yes	
	(v) Whether all expenditure (except petty expenditure) routed through bank account				Yes	
18	(i) Whether the DEO had issued a notice to the candidate for rectifying the defect				No	
	(ii) Whether the candidate rectified the defect				NA	
	(iii) Comments of the DEO on the above, i.e. whether the defect was rectified or not.				NA	
19	Whether the items of election expenses reported by the candidate correspond with the expenses shown in the Shadow Observation Register and Folder of Evidence. If No, then mention the following:				Yes	
	Items of expenditure	Date	Page No. of Shadow Observation Register	Mention amount as per the Shadow Observation Register/ folder of evidence	Amount as per the account submitted by the candidate	Amount understated by the candidate
i	NA					
	Total	NA				

S.No.	Description	To be filled up by the DEO
20	Did the candidate produce his Register of Accounting Election Expenditure for inspection by the Observer/RO/ Authorized persons 3 times during campaign period	Yes
21	If DEO does not agree with the facts mentioned against Row No. 19 referred to above, give the following details:-	NA
	(i) Were the defects noticed by DEO brought to the notice of the candidate during campaign period or during the Account Reconciliation Meeting	NA
	(ii) If yes, then annex copies of all the notices issued relating to discrepancies with English translation (if it is in regional language) and mention the date of notice	NA
	(iii) Did the candidate give any reply to the notice ?	NA
	(iv) If yes, please Annex copies of such explanation received, (with English translation of the same, if it is in regional language) and mention date of reply	NA
	(v) DEO's comments/observations on the candidate's explanation	NA
22	Whether the DEO agrees that the expenses are correctly reported by the candidate. (Should be similar to Column no. 8 of Summary Report of DEO) Date: 30th June, 2018	Yes, Signature (Smt. H.D.B. Sangma, DEO, South West Garo Hills, Ampati)
23	Comments, if any, by the Expenditure Observer* - Date: 30th June, 2018	 Signature of the Expenditure Observer

* If the Expenditure Observer has some more facts that have not been covered in the DEO's report, he may annex separate note to that effect.

The DEO scrutiny report is to be compiled by the CEO and forwarded to the Commission.

** If the CEO feels like giving additional comments, he or she may forward the comments separately.

SUMMARY REPORT OF DEO FOR EACH CONSTITUENCY ON LODGING OF ELECTION EXPENSES ACCOUNTS BY CANDIDATES

- (a) No. and Name of Constituency: 53-Ampati (ST)
 (b) Total No. of contesting candidates : 3
 (c) State and District: SOUTH WEST GARO HILLS, MEGHALAYA
 (d) Date of declaration of result of election/by-election: 31st May, 2018
 (e) Last date of lodging accounts: 30th June, 2018
 (f) Name of the elected candidate : MIANI D. SHIRA

S. No.	Name of the candidate	Due date of lodging of account	Date of lodging of accounts by the Candidate	Whether lodged in the prescribed format (Yes or No)	Whether lodged in the manner required by law (Yes or No)	Grand Total expenses incurred/ authorized by the candidate/ agent (as mentioned in Part-II of Abstract Statement)	Whether the DEO aggress with the amount shown by the candidate against all items of expenditure (Should be similar to point no. 22 of DEO's Scrutiny Report i.e. Annexure C3)	9		10		11	
								Total expenses incurred by the Party (As reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement)	Lump Sum Amount in cash or cheque given to candidate by each Political Party	Grand Total of other expenses in kind by the Political Party	Total expenses incurred by others/entities as reported in Part-III of Abstract Statement		Lump sum Amount paid in cash/cheque given to the candidate and mention names
1	CLEMENT G. MOMIN	2nd July, 2018	29th June, 2018	Yes	Yes	₹ 883547/-	Yes	₹ 147945/-	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	
2	MIANI D. SHIRA	2nd July, 2018	29th June, 2018	Yes	Yes	₹ 534302/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Salindra Prasad - ₹ 50,000/-	Nil	Nil	
3	SUBHANKAR KOCH	2nd July, 2018	29th June, 2018	Yes	Yes	₹ 57850/-	Yes	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	

Comments of the Expenditure Observer, if any.

Date: 30th June, 2018

Signature of DEO



Signature of the
Expenditure Observer